

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSI, NER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra Virgina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08-25-2003

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10 002,936	11 15 2001	Yuch-Ming Teng	59	5411
75	90 08 25 2003			
Thomas E. Hill, Esq. Emrich & Dithmar Suite 3000			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, ASHOK	
300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincago, IL 00			2879	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M_{\sim}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/002,936	TENG, YUEH-MING
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ashok Patel	2879
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatii - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment See 37 CFR 1 704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a con, , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u Disposition of Claims 		
4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in	n the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-21</u> are subject to re	striction and/or election requi	rement.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	· •	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ments have been received in	Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign languag	• •	
Attachment(s)	, ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/002,936 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6 and 13-16, 18-21, drawn to amethod, classified in class 427, subclass 58.
- II. Claims 7-12, drawn to a product, classified in class 313, subclass 479.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, one of ordinary skill in the art would make the claimed product by spraying an inner metallic antistatic layer instead of sputtering as recited in method claims.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2879

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Hill on August 21, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-4934. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Ashok Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879 Page 3